# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

TALITHA KINCADE	)	
BROUGHTON,	)	
,	) CAFN: 1	:22-cv-04229-ELR
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
V.	)	
	)	
	)	
WALMART, INC.	)	
	)	
	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

### JOINT PRELIMINARY REPORT AND DISCOVERY PLAN

# 1. Description of Case:

(a) Describe briefly the nature of this action.

This is a civil action for personal injury involving premises liability, wherein Plaintiff alleges that she fell within Defendant's premises.

(b) Summarize, in the space provided below, the facts of this case. The summary should not be argumentative nor recite evidence.

On August 31, 2020, plaintiff fell in a Wal-Mart store. Plaintiff claims injuries resulting from this fall.

	(c)	The 1	legal issues to be tried are as follows:	
		(1)	duty;	
		(2)	breach;	
		(3)	causation;	
		<b>(4)</b>	damages; and	
		(5)	any defenses raised by the named Defendant	
	(d) The cases listed below (include both style and action number) are			
		(i)	Pending Related Cases: None.	
		(ii)	Previously Adjudicated Related Cases: None.	
2.	This case is complex because it possesses one (1) or more of the feature		complex because it possesses one (1) or more of the features listed	
belov	w (plea	ase che	ck):	
	x_	(2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7)	Unusually large number of parties Unusually large number of claims or defenses Factual issues are exceptionally complex Greater than normal volume of evidence Extended discovery period is needed Problems locating or preserving evidence Pending parallel investigations or action by government Multiple use of experts Need for discovery outside United States boundaries Existence of highly technical issues and proof	
3.	Cou	nsel:		

The following individually-named attorneys are hereby designated as lead counsel for the parties:

For Plaintiffs: Christopher S. Harris

Georgia Bar No. 135243 Harris & Harris, LLC

P.O. Box 679

Palmetto, Georgia 30268

(770) 632-8988

Harrisandharrislaw@gmail.com

For Defendants: Jonathan M. Adelman, Esq. (Lead Counsel) Georgia Bar No. 005128

WALDON ADELMAN CASTILLA HIESTAND & PROUT

900 Circle 75 Parkway, Suite 1040

Atlanta, Georgia 30339 (770) 953-1710 – Telephone

jadelman@wachp.com

For Defendants: Casey J. Brown, Esq. (Other Counsel) Georgia Bar No. 757384

WALDON ADELMAN CASTILLA HIESTAND & PROUT

900 Circle 75 Parkway, Suite 1040

Atlanta, Georgia 30339 (770) 953-1710 – Telephone

jadelman@wachp.com

#### 4. Jurisdiction:

Is there any question regarding this court's jurisdiction?

\_\_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_x No

If "yes," please attach a statement, not to exceed one (1) page, explaining the jurisdictional objection. When there are multiple claims, identify and discuss

separately the claim(s) on which the objection is based. Each objection should be supported by authority.

#### 5. Parties to This Action:

- (a) The following persons are necessary parties who have not been joined:None of which the parties are aware.
- (b) The following persons are improperly joined as parties:Not applicable.
- (c) The names of the following parties are either inaccurately stated or necessary portions of their names are omitted:

#### None.

(d) The parties shall have a continuing duty to inform the court of any contentions regarding unnamed parties necessary to this action or any contentions regarding misjoinder of parties or errors in the statement of a party's name.

# **6.** Amendments to the Pleadings:

Amended and supplemental pleadings must be filed in accordance with the time limitations and other provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 15. Further instructions regarding amendments are contained in L.R. 15.

(a) List separately any amendments to the pleadings which the parties anticipate will be necessary:

### None are anticipated at this time.

(b) Amendments to the pleadings submitted LATER THAN THIRTY (30) DAYS after the Joint Preliminary Report and Discovery Plan is filed, or should have been filed, will not be accepted for filing, unless otherwise permitted by law.

#### 7. Filing Times For Motions:

All motions should be filed as soon as possible. The local rules set specific filing limits for some motions. These times are restated below.

All other motions must be filed WITHIN THIRTY (30) DAYS after the beginning of discovery, unless the filing party has obtained prior permission of the court to file later. L.R. 7.1A(2).

- (a) *Motions to Compel*: before the close of discovery or within the extension period allowed in some instances. L.R. 37.1.
- (b) Summary Judgment Motions: within thirty (30) days after the close of discovery, unless otherwise permitted by court order. Local Rule 56.1.
- (c) *Other Limited Motions*: Refer to L.R. 7.2A; 7.2B, and 7.2E, respectively, regarding filing limitations for motions pending on removal, emergency motions, and motions for reconsideration.

(d) *Motions Objecting to Expert Testimony*: <u>Daubert</u> motions with regard to expert testimony no later than the date that the proposed pretrial order is submitted. Refer to L.R. 7.2F.

#### 8. Initial Disclosures:

The parties are required to serve initial disclosures in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26. If any party objects that initial disclosures are not appropriate, state the party and basis for the party's objection.

The parties will file and serve their Initial Disclosures on or before Monday November 28, 2022.

## 9. Request for Scheduling Conference:

Does any party request a scheduling conference with the Court? If so, please state the issues which could be addressed and the position of each party.

No.

### 10. Discovery Period:

The discovery period commences on November 23, 2022 which is thirty (30) days after the appearance of the first defendant by answer to the complaint. As stated in L.R. 26.2A, responses to initiated discovery must be completed before expiration of the assigned discovery period.

Cases in this court are assigned to one of the following three (3) discovery tracks: (a) zero (0)-months discovery period, (b) four (4)-months discovery period, and (c) eight (8)-months discovery period. A chart showing the assignment of cases to a discovery track by filing category is contained in Appendix F. The track to which a particular case is assigned is also stamped on the complaint and service copies of the complaint at the time of filing.

Please state below the subjects on which discovery may be needed:

Defendant's actual or constructive knowledge of the alleged hazard including, but not limited to defendant's inspection procedures and compliance therewith; maintenance records, plaintiff's contributory negligence or assumption of risk, plaintiff's pre-and-post incident medical history, the reasonableness and necessity of plaintiff's claimed medical treatment and whether the charges associated with plaintiff's claimed incident-related medical treatment are reasonable and customary.

If the parties anticipate that additional time beyond that allowed by the assigned discovery track will be needed to complete discovery or that discovery should be conducted in phases or be limited to or focused upon particular issues, please state those reasons in detail below:

The parties request a six (6) month discovery period due to issues related to obtaining the entirety of plaintiff's medical history as well as the potential need to retain and hire experts that cannot be completed prior to fact discovery. Additionally, the parties anticipate delays regarding discovery due to current COVID restrictions and related accommodations.

#### 11. Discovery Limitation:

(a) What changes should be made in the limitations on discovery imposed under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or Local Rules of this Court, and what other limitations should be imposed?

The Defendants request that certain proprietary information, records, policies and procedures, trade secrets, and/or other documents only be produced upon entry of a duly-executed Confidentiality Agreement and Protective Order, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c)(1)(G).

- (b) Is any party seeking discovery of electronically stored information?
   Yes \_x\_ No
   If "yes,"
- (1) The parties have discussed the sources and scope of their production of electronically stored information and have agreed to limit the scope of production (e.g., accessibility, search terms, date limitations, or key witnesses) as follows:

(2) The parties have discussed the format for the production of

electronically stored information (e.g., Tagged Image File Format (TIFF or .TIF

files), Portable Document Format (PDF), or native) method of production (e.g.,

paper or disk), and the inclusion or exclusion and use of metadata, and have agreed

as follows:

12. Other Orders:

What other orders do the parties think that the Court should enter under Rule

26(c) or under Rule 16(b) and (c)?

At this time, only the Confidentiality Agreement and Protective Order

described in paragraph 11(a) above.

13. Settlement Potential:

(a) Lead counsel for the parties certify by their signatures below that they

conducted a Rule 26(f) conference that was held on November 14, 2022, and that

they participated in settlement discussions. Other persons who participated in the

settlement discussions are listed according to party.

For Plaintiffs:

/s/ Christopher S. Harris

For Defendants:

/s/ Casey J. Brown

Page 9 of 12

	(b)	All parties were promptly informed of all offers of settlement and
follow	ing di	scussion by all counsel, it appears that there is now:
	(	_) A possibility of settlement before discovery.
	( <u>X</u>	) A possibility of settlement after discovery.
	(	_) A possibility of settlement, but a conference with judge is needed.
	(	_) No possibility of settlement.
	(c)	Counsel ( <u>X</u> ) do or () do not intend to hold additional settlement
confer	ences	among themselves prior to the close of discovery.
	(d)	The following specific problems have created a hindrance to settlement
of this	case.	
	None	•
14.	Trial by Magistrate Judge:	
	Note:	Trial before a Magistrate Judge will be by jury trial if a party is
otherw	ise er	ntitled to a jury trial.
	(a)	The parties (_) do consent to having this case tried before a magistrate
judge	of th	is court. A completed Consent to Jurisdiction by a United States
Magis	trate J	udge form has been submitted to the clerk of court this
day		, of 20

(b) The parties  $(\underline{X})$  do not consent to having this case tried before a magistrate judge of this court.

Jointly submitted this 21st day of November, 2022.

WALDEN ADELMAN CASTILLA HIESTAND & PROUT HARRIS & HARRIS, LLC

By: <u>/s/ Casey J. Brown</u>
Jonathan M. Adelman
Georgia Bar No. 005128
Casey J. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 757384

By: <u>/s/ Christopher S. Harris</u>
Christopher S. Harris
Georgia Bar No. 949116

900 Circle 75 Parkway, Suite 1040 Atlanta, Georgia 30339 (770) 953-1710 – Telephone jadelman@wachp.com cbrown@wachp.com P.O. Box 679 Palmetto, Georgia 30268 (770) 632-8988 Harrisandharrislaw@gmail.com \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

## **SCHEDULING ORDER**

> UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

ATLANTA DIVISION